

Statement:

When he put on the robe, Judge Hagedorn took an oath to be impartial and apply the law on every case, and he will always be faithful to that oath and to the people he serves. He believes personal political values have no place on the Supreme Court and his job is to say what the law is, not what he thinks the law should be. He has been true to this through hundreds of cases as a judge on the Court of Appeals.

Hagedorn's record on the bench and judicial philosophy prove he is committed to treating everyone with dignity and applying the law to everyone who appears before him.

1. Does Judge Hagedorn still believe Planned Parenthood is a "wicked organization"? Would he recuse himself from cases involving PP?

He sees no reason to recuse himself as his personal views are irrelevant to his job as a judge.

2. Does the judge still favor overturning Roe v. Wade? Would he be impartial on abortion cases that come before the high court if elected?

Judge Hagedorn will apply all binding precedent from the U.S. Supreme Court, including Roe v. Wade. As a judge on the Court of Appeals, he took an oath to be impartial and apply the law on every case.

3. Does the judge still believe the Supreme Court decision on sodomy laws opened the door to bestiality?

Judge Hagedorn will apply all binding precedent from the U.S. Supreme Court. As a judge on the Court of Appeals, he took an oath to be impartial and apply the law on every case.

4. Does the judge still morally and legally oppose same-sex marriage?

Judge Hagedorn will apply all binding precedent from the U.S. Supreme Court, including Obergefell v. Hodges. As a judge on the Court of Appeals, he took an oath to be impartial and apply the law on every case, and he will always be faithful to that oath.

On background, it is important to note that, in Dec. 2005, [Hagedorn wrote in MKE Magazine](#) that the marriage amendment should be left up to the will of people:

The rules of the game should be that whoever can convince more of her fellow citizens wins.

By placing the proposed amendment before the people in November 2006, we ensure that we all play by the same rules and foreclose the option of having four robed lawyers in Madison decide this important issue for us.

5. Would the judge be able to be impartial in cases involving same-sex couples? Or would he recuse himself?

Every litigant appearing before him will receive a fair hearing and a decision based on the law alone.

6. Does the judge regret having written the blog and putting these positions out there before running for a nonpartisan judicial post?

These posts were written on issues of the day nearly 15 years ago when Judge Hagedorn was a law student – long before he put on a robe and took an oath.

Judge Hagedorn is not ashamed by his faith. It has shaped his commitment to his family and the dignity of all people throughout his life. It also doesn't mean he will fashion the law to fit his own beliefs. His whole campaign is based on the premise that a judge's job is to say what the law is, not what the judge thinks the law should be. He is not a policymaker; that's the legislature's job under our constitution.